Mitch is out this afternoon but can e-mail you tomorrow. In addition to what I described, he mentioned that JT. Tax assumed that no public power entities would elect to give up the issuance of tax-exempt bonds (under the first scenario I described). We disagree with that assumption, and there are other points he'd like to highlight for you as well. Will tomorrow work? There seems to be a shortage of consultants given the Easter recess...

As you probably know, under the proposed legislation, public power systems can provide open access without triggering the private use limitations. The systems can:

(1) elect to forgo issuance of most future tax-exempt debt and its existing bonds would be protected from private use restrictions. But the system could still issue tax-exempt debt to finance local transmission and distribution facilities over which it provides open access.

or,

(2) choose not to make the election and remain subject to private use rules EXCEPT, even under this scenario, the system would still be permitted to provide open access transmission and distribution without triggering the private use restrictions.

So, under the legislation and under either scenario, public power systems could provide local open access transmission without being subject to private use rules.

Joint Tax assumed that without the legislation, in the 23 states that have adopted restructuring, all outstanding public power debt would be defeased. Taxable bonds would then be issued, so JT Tax assumed that the federal government would lose all of that revenue should the legislation pass. On top of that, they assumed that all 50 states would ultimately adopt restructuring...and to get to the conclusion that all public power debt would be defeased, they assumed that public power would be mandated to participate in restructuring.

My knowledge on other specifics of these assumptions is limited, but our tax consultant, Mitch Rapaport, was in all the meetings with JT Tax and could be far more helpful. Would you like to talk to him?
Joe, Hopefully you have received my fax regarding the revenue estimates, transmission and retail sales stats. Let me know if you have additional questions. You might find additional useful information on this link to our website:

http://www.appanet.org/general/issues/stats.htm

--Susan Pettit
Government Relations Representative
APPA
202-467-2985
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THanks Joe. How about the 26th, or the morning of the 27th? I will check with Dave and David to be sure, but I think David Cook is down here most Mondays and could stay over to Tuesday morning if that would help you.

Thank you for the kind words on the Energy Strategy. It was a work product of a lifetime (and I had a lot of great help). I do have some feel for what you are trying to do, and would like very much to help. (By the Way, Vito Stagliano is putting finishing touches on a book about the preparation of the strategy, complete with all the scoop on inside fights, as well as less juicy discussion of analysis/assumptions etc. It is now dated, but some of the interagency and agency-White House tensions remain, I am sure. I have most of the near-final manuscript, when you ever have time, if you are interested.)

As for that transmission investment data, I cannot recall for sure, but I think I used data from Leonard Hyman's book, "Unlocking the Benefits of Restructuring: A Blueprint for Transmission." I can fax you key pages or messenger the whole book over to you in the morning, please just let me know.

Best regards,
Linda

-----Original Message-----
From: Kelliher, Joseph <Joseph.Kelliher@hq.doe.gov>
To: Linda Stuntz <lstuntz@sdsatty.com>
Date: Thursday, February 15, 2001 3:43 PM
Subject: RE: Reliability Legislation

>I would like to meet with you all. When is a convenient time? I would be grateful if we can do it after 2/23.
Dave Nevius, David Cook and I would appreciate the opportunity to visit with you sometime soon to talk about reliability legislation. As you may know, Senator Gordon Smith has introduced the Gorton bill cf last year (S. 172). Mr. Wynn and others have introduced legislation similar to the Wynn Bill of last year, which includes RTO coordination amendments (H.R. 312). I understand that you are working with the Vice President's task force on a Comprehensive Energy Strategy. We would like to talk with you about making the NERC reliability legislation a part of that Strategy, and address any questions you may have about our legislative effort.

Dave would also be prepared to talk about the status of NERC's summer assessment, and how things look to them.

I know you are swamped. Please just let me know when you could fit us in, and we will be there.

thanks and best regards,
Linda
Dave Nevius, David Cook and I would appreciate the opportunity to visit with you sometime soon to talk about reliability legislation. As you may know, Senator Gordon Smith has introduced the Gorton bill of last year (S. 172). Mr. Wynn and others have introduced legislation similar to the Wynn Bill of last year, which includes RTO coordination amendments (H.R. 312). I understand that you are working with the Vice President's task force on a Comprehensive Energy Strategy. We would like to talk with you about making the NERC reliability legislation a part of that Strategy, and address any questions you may have about our legislative effort.

Dave would also be prepared to talk about the status of NERC's summer assessment, and how things look to them.

I know you are swamped. Please just let me know when you could fit us in, and we will be there.

thanks and best regards,

Linda
Kelliher, Joseph

From: KMurphy@doc.gov%internet [KMurphy@doc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 6:54 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: Re: CZMA

"Kelliher, Joseph"

<Joseph.Kelliher@h To:
"'Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov'" <Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov>
q.doe.gov> cc:

Subject: CZMA

Wed 03/21/01 04:43 PM
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3 August 2001

Spencer Abraham
Department of Energy
Washington, DC

Sir:

On "Moneyline" today. How big a bribe (oops-Campaign Contribution) did the energy companies pay George W. "Landslide" Bush for that so-called "energy plan"? How much did Cheney's company contribute?

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Copy:
Moneyline-CNN
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 9:46 AM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: alternatives and renewables

Joe,

Margot

(b)(5)
Sorry, I got called away to meeting with the chief of staff (on energy emergencies at DOE).

According to EIA: "Of all energy consumed for transportation, 97% is petroleum based."

not 2/3, not 95%

Margot
Kelliher, Joseph

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2001 8:23 AM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: electricity assessment + NEP

Joe,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Thursday, February 15, 2001 8:13 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: electricity assessment + NEP

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 14, 2001 3:50 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: electricity assessment + NEP

Joe,

Margot
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Hello, My name is Steven Tzferakos and I am an economist with Industry Canada HQ (a federal government department here in Ottawa, Canada). My director has requested that I begin to look into current and future U.S. energy policy developments, at the moment, primarily in regards to the "Cheney Energy Task Force-National Energy Policy Development Group which Mr. Abraham is a member of). There seems to be some material out there but I'm not quite sure how reliable some of it is. Would you be able to provide me with detailed information (reports/briefings) in regards to these initiatives, their aims, current progress/findings and related upcoming developments in this process? Information on near term anticipated releases &/or upcoming meetings would be of use in ensuring that the Assistant Deputy Minister is up-to-date and doesn't miss anything over the next little while. (For example, I believe that in the near future there will be a House Energy Subcommittee hearing on National Energy Policy this Wednesday, and a "Problems Report" issued by the Policy Development Group on March the 10th?) Do you have such information or know of the appropriate contacts who could help me in this matter? Your suggestions and assistance would be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Tzferakos
10305 East Tower
235 Queen Street, C.D. Howe Building
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 5H5
CANADA
TEL (613) 997-4232
FAX (613) 941-2463
Joe,

Please let me know if you have any questions or if you would like me to look into this issue further.

— Veronica
Secretary, The

From: Rick Dunnett [rdunnett@advancedequities.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2001 2:23 PM
To: Secretary, The
Subject: FW: Energy Conservation

U.S. Secretary of Energy Spencer Abraham,

I received your agencies acknowledgement that my email is being reviewed by the proper department. Could somebody please reply and give me a status for my inquiry?

From: Rick Dunnett [rdunnett@advancedequities.com]
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2001 10:17 AM
To: The.Secretary@hq.doe.gov
Subject: Energy Conservation

U.S. Secretary of Energy Spencer Abraham,

My name is Rick Dunnett and I work for Advanced Equities (www.advancedequities), a private investment boutique in Chicago. I am writing to you after reading The National Report on America's Energy Crisis, Energy Summit March 19,2001. In the report, you mentioned President Bush's Energy Task Force headed by Vice President Cheney.

I am attempting to find investors for an innovative technology that significantly reduce consumption of stand by power. in most cases an this company delivers a 10 fold efficiency. The product is patented and in production today. Your energy report cites the energy task force mentioned above, and their strategy calling for "our commitment to conservation and energy efficiency...and encourage investment in new technology to further the development of renewable energy resources." Could you please steer me in the proper direction to locate investors in energy related companies.

I appreciate your time for this matter.

Best Regards,

Rick Dunnett
VP Investments
Advanced Equities
(312)377-5339
(800)474-0900
rdunnett@advancedequities.com

Although the statements of facts in this report have been obtained from and are based on sources that we believe to be reliable, we do not guarantee their accuracy, and any such information may be incomplete or condensed. This report is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security.
MaryBeth Zimmerman 02/16/2001 12:21 PM

To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: 

Subject: Re: Chapter 4 inputs

Thanks much.
Jerry Dion

Jerry Dion 02/19/2001 12:15 PM

To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Barbara Sisson/EE/DOE@DOE

Subject: Re: Chapter 4 inputs

Here is a BTS markup of your file Chapter 4 – efficiency mbz and a backup markup by PNNL.

Chapter 4 – efficiency mbz

I have placed these on the P: drive in the proper subdirectory as well.

Jerry

MaryBeth Zimmerman

MaryBeth Zimmerman 02/16/2001 10:14 AM

To: Kenneth Friedman/EE/DOE@DOE, Ed Wall/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: Ellyn Krevitz/EE/DOE@DOE

Subject: Chapter 4 inputs

Because someone is working on the original chapter 4 (good for you!), I have saved my edits from this morning as “chapter 4 – efficiency mbz.” This version has questions to the sectors highlighted. I think everything being requested is pretty standard stuff. PLEASE ADD REFERENCES TO SOURCES OF INFORMATION – IT WILL CUT DOWN ON OUR FACT CHECK WORK.

Once again, we need everything in by noon today. Thanks

P://Analysis/calls/external requests/NEP2001/Assessment Chapters/Chapter 4 - efficiency mbz
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To: James Rannels/EE/DOE@DOE
cc:
Subject: Re: Solar Energy Synopsis

As per your request, attached is a synopsis of solar energy.
(See attached file: Solar Energy Synopsis.doc)

- Solar Energy Synopsis.doc
- PIC18845.PCX