February 7, 2002

The Honorable Spencer Abraham
Secretary of Energy
1000 Independence Avenue, WS
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Mr. Abraham:

On behalf of the member communities of the Northern California Power Agency (NCPA)\(^1\), I am requesting your assistance in ensuring that the membership of the newly created Department of Energy (DOE) Electricity Advisory Board is made to be more appropriately balanced through the addition of a California electricity industry representative.

NCPA is pleased to know of your efforts to establish the Electricity Advisory Board. It is our hope that this now established federally chartered board will provide substantive, first-hand advice on the very challenging issues facing the electricity industry and its consumers today. It is also important that the Board include broad spectrum of stakeholders that can advise the Department from a diversity of perspectives.

We are concerned, however, that the board as named does not currently have utility industry representation from the State of California. NCPA firmly believes the Department of Energy can learn immensely from the impacts and results of California’s electricity market — no future policy direction should be determined without taking into account the unfortunate lessons learned in our State. Our

\(^1\) NCPA is a nonprofit California joint powers agency established in 1958 to generate, transmit, and distribute electric power to and on behalf of its fourteen members: cities of Alameda, Bega, Gridley, Healdsburg, Lodi, Lompoc, Palo Alto, Redding, Roseville, Santa Clara, Ukiah, the Port of Oakland, the Truckee Donner Public Utility District, and the Turlock Irrigation District; and seven associate members: cities of Davis, Santa Barbara, ASUAD Power, Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Lassen Municipal Utility District, Placer County Water Agency, and the Plumas-Sierra Electric Cooperative serving nearly 700,000 electric consumers in central and northern California.
electricity customers as well as our overall economy has been dramatically impacted by our experience with deregulation – hard-learned lessons that should not be neglected as we contemplate future energy policies.

In addition, while the list of 42 stakeholders on the board includes several representatives from other sectors of the industry – only one public power system has been selected to serve on the panel. As public power systems serve one out of every seven electricity customers in the United States (and 30% of California’s electricity customers), it is important that the stakeholder board becomes more balanced with regard to our sector of the industry. In many cases, public power served as an island of stability in the state during the electricity crisis. As such, we believe the Department can learn from our experience in northern and central California.

Toward that end, we ask that you consider adding Mr. James Pope to DOE’s Electricity Advisory Board. Mr. Pope is the Director of the City’s Electric Utility, Silicon Valley Power, a non-profit public power electricity provider in Santa Clara, California. The naming of Mr. Pope would bring much-needed perspective based upon our state’s recent experiences with electricity deregulation, while ensuring more equity through the addition of a public power participant.

Mr. Jim Pope has considerable depth of experience in both the private and public power communities. He worked for 28 years in the private power sector, including a position as Senior Vice-President at Pacific Gas and Electric. He now serves as Director of Silicon Valley Power, a position he has held for 5 years.

Furthermore, his work for Silicon Valley Power would enable him to bring unique insights to the Advisory Board. Silicon Valley Power is a large and sophisticated public power system, with 85% of its revenue coming from large industrial consumers. The majority of its industrial load serves high-tech consumers, one of which serves as a base for 40% of global Internet applications. His work with such customers, while being a prominent member of the public power community in California, would serve our state’s interests well.

His service on the Advisory Board would continue his longstanding work with the Department to advance development of Path 15, which is listed as a key priority of the President’s Energy Task Force Report. Mr. Jim Pope continues to be a key leader on this issue for the Department and is working closely with DOE staff on finding innovative ways to resolve the Path 15 transmission problem.
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We hope that you agree that Mr. Jim Pope would serve DOE well in this regard. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Judy Nadler  
Mayor  
City of Santa Clara

cc: City Manager
Kelliher, Joseph

From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2001 12:02 PM  
To: Kelliher, Joseph  
Subject: FW: Reformatted Chapters

Joe.

margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2001 9:26 AM  
To: 'Charles_M. Smith@ovp.eop.gov\internet'  
Subject: RE: Reformatted Chapters

Charlie,

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles M. Smith@ovp.eop.gov\internet  
[mailto:Charles M. Smith@ovp.eop.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2001 9:18 AM  
To: Anderson, Margot  
Subject: RE: Reformatted Chapters

Margot:

Regardless of not having received another round of comments/suggested changes, I'd appreciate it if you would forward the chapters/graphics in the format requested so that we can begin to lay out where graphics/pictures go.

Thanks

Charlie
Joe,

Sure, anytime. No meetings, but don't know when S1 will be in and I have to talk with him about some things.

Jay and Doug,
I am trying to make a COB (Wednesday) deadline. Let me know who you want to proceed.

Margot
All,

Important: NEP Status Report.
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:28 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: electricity and gas graphics

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:24 PM
To: Robert_C_McNally@OPD.EOP.gov
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: electricity and gas graphics

Robert,
Here are EPA's suggestions for the outlines being prepared:

Thanks!
Margot:

Give me a call on this, if you would.

Thanks

Charlie
Here are EPA's suggestions for the outlines being prepared:

I'm not sure whether this is enough information to be helpful to you. If you have questions, please call me at 564-1681.

Thanks!
Subject: DOE Comments on

Attached are DOE's

9.doc

10.doc

5.doc

4.doc

DOE comments chapter

DOE comments chapter

DOE comments chapter

DOE comments chapter
Sorry, just got, but without the attachment. Can you please forward? Thanks, Mary Beth
-----Original Message-----
From: Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet
[mailto:Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 12:53 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Bruce.Baughman@FEnA.gov%internet;
Beale, John@EPA.gov%internet; Brenner-Rob@EPA.gov%internet;
Keith.Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov%internet;
John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov%internet;
Tom_Fulton@ioscns1.ios.DOI.gov%internet;
Galgogyls@State.gov%internet; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov%internet;
Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet;
Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov%internet; McManusmt@State.gov%internet;
Robert_C._McNally@ovp.eop.gov%internet; KHurphy@0sec-doc.gov%internet;
MPeacock@omb.eop.gov%internet; Michelle Poche@0ST.D0T.gov%internet;
Patricia.Stahlschmidt@FEMA.gov%internet; Symons-Jeremy@EPA.gov%internet;
Sue_Ellen_Woolridge@IOS.DOI.gov%internet
Subject: Draft outline

(See attached file: Draft outline.doc)

Attached is the draft outline.

Karen
Section 1, 2. Crude Oil, 3rd bullet - Change "Oil imports" to "Oil Demand".

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson_at_HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 1:25 PM
To: Pettis, Larry; Skinner, Bill; William Breed_at_HQ-EXCH at X400PO;
John Conti_at_HQ-EXCH at X400PO; Tracy Terry_at_HQ-EXCH at X400PO;
Robert Porter_at_HQ-EXCH at X400PO; Robert Kripowicz_at_HQ-EXCH at
X400PO
Subject: FW: Revised Draft outline on short term supplies

All,

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 1:05 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: Draft outline

---Original Message---
From: Karen_Y_Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet
[mailto:Karen_Y_Knutson@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 12:53 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov%internet;
Beale.John@EPA.gov%internet; Brenner.Rob@EPA.gov%internet;
Keith.Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov%internet;
John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov%internet;
Tom_Fulton@iosicns1.ies.DOI.gov%internet;
Galliglysyj@State.gov%internet; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov%internet;
Karen_Y_Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet;
Andrew_D_Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov%internet; McManusmt@State.gov%internet;
Robert_C_McNally@opd.eop.gov%internet; KMurphy@Osec.doc.gov%internet;
MPeacock@omb.eop.gov%internet; Michelle.Poche@OST.DOT.gov%internet;
Patricia.Stahlschmidt@FEMA.gov%internet; Symons.Jeremy@EPA.gov%internet;
Sue_Elien_Woolridge@IOS.DOI.gov%internet
Subject: Draft outline

(See attached file: Draft outline.doc)
Attached is the draft outline.

Karen
Williams, Ronald L.

From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001*10:12 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Anderson, Margot; McSarrow, Kyle
Subject: Briefing for Vice President's Task Force
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 10:12 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Anderson, Margot; McSlarrow, Kyle
Subject: Briefing for Vice President's Task Force

Obtained and made public by the Natural Resources Defense Council, March/April 2002
From: Keliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2001 9:34 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: solutions

Yes, I'll stop by.

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2001 6:20 PM
To: Keliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: solutions

Joe,

Can you stop by after 11? We could talk about this and about providing input into the other sections of the report.

---Original Message---
From: Keliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2001 2:24 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: solutions

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2001 9:38 AM
To: Keliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: solutions

Yes, I'll stop by.
---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 2:44 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: new draft

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 2:24 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: new draft

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 2:20 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: new draft

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:36 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: new draft

Do you want me to send it around for DOE review to the program offices?

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:33 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: new draft

Sorry, I just realized I never sent it to you

<< File: sec1.4.doc >> << File: secreg3.doc >>
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 Obtained and made public by the Natural Resources Defense Council, March/April 2002
Margot, Here are our comments (replacing
FYI - Comments from OMB on the EPA chapter.

-------------------- Forwarded by Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP on 02/27/2001
04:24 PM ------------------

Robert S. Fairweather
02/27/2001 03:46:01 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP@EOP

cc: See the distribution list at the bottom of this message

Subject: Report of the National Energy Policy Development Group
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Message Copied
To:

Marcus Peacock/OMB/EOP@EOP
Sherron Duncan/OMB/EOP@EOP
Ronald M. Cogswell/OMB/EOP@EOP
Mark A. Weatherly/OMB/EOP@EOP
Kevin F. Neyland/OMB/EOP@EOP
Margot, Here are our comments (replacing...