From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 9:54 AM
To: PETTIS, LARRY
Subject: RE: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices

Okay, thanks. We did something last year and Barry is updating. I'll send around.

---

Margot

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 12:33 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices

Margot - we haven't done anything formal on this but have commented in testimony, etc. John Cook/Joanne Shore are going to write up a couple of paragraphs on what we know and will send it to you before noon.

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 8:06 AM
To: Joseph Kelliher at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Cc: Pettis, Larry; Robert Kripowicz at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Subject: RE: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices

Joe - Okay, we're working on it.
Larry and Bob - do you have anything on this to add in?

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 7:55 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices

Jeez, end of the day would be great.

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 7:53 AM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Kripowicz, Robert; PETTIS, LARRY
Subject: RE: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices

Okay - I think we did something on this last year. Deadline?

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 7:23 AM
To: Kripowicz, Robert; PETTIS, LARRY
Cc: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: Unocal patent, summertime gasoline prices
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Unocal: Gas patent won't boost prices
By HIL ANDERSON, UPI Chief Energy Correspondent

LOS ANGELES, Feb. 20 (UPI) -- Unocal Corp. downplayed fears that Tuesday's
U.S. Supreme Court decision not to hear the case against the patents on its
reformulated gasoline formulas would lead to another summer of soaring
prices at the pump.

The high court decided Tuesday it would not hear an appeal from five of
Unocal's fellow oil companies and let stand a lower court ruling that
upheld Unocal's patents and the company's right to seek royalty payments of 5.75
cents per gallon from companies that use its formula.

The uncertainty over the Unocal case last summer was looked at by some
analysts as playing a role in the hefty nationwide spike in pump prices
that
sullied an otherwise banner year for summer vacation travel.

Unocal executives were elated at Tuesday's news from Washington. Officials
said the back royalties could produce annual royalty revenues of $75-$150
million, however they insisted that consumers would not bear the brunt.

"We believe that our patented formulations provide refiners and blenders
with a cost-effective way of meeting California and federal standards for
cleaner-burning gasoline," said Charles R. Williamson, Unocal's chief
executive officer. "We estimate that licenses for our patents would add
less
than 1 cent per gallon to the cost of reformulated gasoline nationwide."

The patent challenge case primarily involved formulas for gasoline used
only in California, however refineries nationwide were reluctant to produce
fuel that might place them in a position to eventually be sued by Unocal.
That reluctance was accompanied by warnings that an overall shortage of RFG
could result if refiners could not find a way to blend RFG without stepping
on Unocal's toes.

Pump prices nationwide jumped last summer with the increase largely the
result of higher crude prices, although shortages of RFG drove retail
prices
in the Chicago and Milwaukee areas to $2 per gallon.

Unocal, however, said that RFG can be made with formulas that differ from
theirs, and that the gasoline covered by the five patents in question
applied to "summer" RFG gasoline that has a lower so-called Reid Vapor
Pressure -- which indicates a slower rate of evaporation -- and accounts for
less than half of all RFG varieties.

Nevertheless, Williamson said that the time had come for the nation's
refiners to meet with Unocal to work out an accommodation.
"Lost in this long dispute is the simple fact that utilizing the formulations in our cleaner-burning gasoline patents can save refiners and consumers millions of dollars while improving air quality," he said. "We think it's time for all of the parties to sit down and negotiate fair and reasonable licensing agreements."

Copyright 2001 by United Press International. All rights reserved.
Thank you very much for your inputs (and patience) over the last several days.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2001 11:07 AM  
To: Anderson, Margot; Cook, Trevor; Scalisi, Paula; PETTIS, LARRY; KENDELL, JAMES; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Sullivan, John; jkaster@bpa.gov; Kripowicz, Robert; Haspel, Abe; Magwood, William; jkaster@bpa.gov; Whalley, Michael; Bratsch, Jay; Conli, John; Carter, Douglas  
CC: Kellner, Joseph  
Subject: RE: new version section 1  

Reminder that we are meeting at 11:30 in PO conference room. We will be editing yesterday's 5:00 version. Be prepared to address major concerns and edits.

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Monday, February 19, 2001 5:22 PM  
To: Cook, Trevor; Scalisi, Paula; PETTIS, LARRY; KENDELL, JAMES; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Sullivan, John; jkaster@bpa.gov; Kripowicz, Robert; Haspel, Abe; Magwood, William; jkaster@bpa.gov; Whalley, Michael  
CC: Kellner, Joseph  
Subject: new version section 1  

All,  

Joe's revised draft. Thanks for all the comments  

I didn't take all your suggestions. I tried to make every accommodation but had to make a judgment call about what worked and what didn't.

Still to do:  

1) Fact checking. Still blanks to fill in. In some cases, we need a source. EIA cannot corroborate without it.

2) Please read red edits - these are outstanding issues that still need attention and/or discussion.

3) Plan on meeting in PO conference room (7B-040) on Tuesday 2/20 at 11:30 to discuss draft and get new instructions that will come out of a morning WH meeting.

<< File: sect 2 jk.DOC >>
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:37 PM
To: PETTIS, LARRY
Subject: FW: NEP pieces

Larry,

Not sure who to give this to. As you can see, Joe wants an exhaustive fact check (not a review, so I am hesitant to send it out 'cause everyone will just have comments). Can you help? I will take a careful look as well.

Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Kelther, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:24 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP pieces
I will be forever grateful. Thank you.

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 12:35 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

We'll definitely get this to you by C.O.B tomorrow.

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 8:40 AM
To: Pettis, Larry
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Larry,

Thanks much. The final drop dead would be Friday (when the stuff goes to the Pres.) tomorrow COB would be nice. Any possibility?

Margot

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 6:39 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

--- Margot,  
I'm getting two people to do a fact check on this so we have two set of eyes on
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this. Will let you know of any changes needed and highlight any data which we can't confirm.

Deadline???

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at X400PO
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:37 PM
To: Pettis, Larry
Subject: FW: NEP pieces

Larry,

Not sure who to give this to. As you can see, Joe wants an exhaustive fact check (not a review, so I am hesitant to send it out 'cause everyone will just have comments). Can you help? I will take a careful look as well.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Kellner, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:24 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP pieces

b (5)
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 4:04 PM
To: PETTIS, LARRY
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Larry,

Thanks much. The final drop dead would be Friday (when the stuff goes to the Pres.) tomorrow COB would be nice. Any possibility?

Margot

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 6:39 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Margot;
I'm getting two people to do a fact check on this so we have two set of eyes on this. Will let you know of any changes needed and highlight any data which we can't confirm.
Deadline???

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:37 PM
To: Pettis, Larry
Subject: FW: NEP pieces

Larry,

Not sure who to give this to. As you can see, Joe wants an exhaustive fact check (not a review, so I am hesitant to send it out 'cause everyone will just have comments). Can you help? I will take a careful look as well.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:24 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP pieces
Martin, Adrienne

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 8:41 AM
To: PETTIS, LARRY
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Oh, Larry, don't kill me. We need sources for any info you are fact checking. Just got the word.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 8:12 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: KYDES, ANDY; SKINNER, BILL
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

We'll definitely get this to you by C.O.B tomorrow.

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 4:03 PM
To: Pettis, Larry
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Larry,

Thanks much. The final drop dead would be Friday (when the stuff goes to the Pres.) tomorrow COB would be nice. Any possibility?

Margot

---Original Message---
From: PETTIS, LARRY
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 6:39 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: NEP pieces

Margo,
I'm getting two people to do a fact check on this so we have two set of eyes on this. Will let you know of any changes needed and highlight any data which we can't confirm.

Deadline???

---Original Message---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 1:37 PM
To: Pettis, Larry
Subject: FW: NEP pieces

Larry,

Not sure who to give this to. As you can see, Joe wants an exhaustive fact check (not a review, so I am hesitant to send it out 'cause everyone will just have comments). Can you help? I will take a careful look as well.

Margot

---Original Message---
Chatie,

This should get you started.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Charles M. Smith<ovp.eop.gov@internet>
[mailto:Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 12:17 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: e-mail address

here it is
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 2:58 PM
To: Carter, Douglas
Subject: FW: NEP pieces

Obtained and made public by the Natural Resources Defense Council, March/April 2002
Trev.

--- Original Message ---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:33 AM
To: Cord, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, Patricia; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchart, Elena; Cook, Trevor; jkster@bpa.gov
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: template

All,

I discussed with Kelliher and received comments from PO and EE. Anyone else going to weigh in before I finalize and set some deadlines?
All,

Comments, please.

Margot
Hiya Margo,

Just wondering if you've heard anything.

FYI, all next week I have

Trev.
Thanks. I did speak with Joe about this and will continue to update him so we can make sure the document is correct. Really appreciated all your efforts on this.

Margot - Here's a quick update on the CERA data:
Martin, Adrienne

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Sunday, February 25, 2001 1:11 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: ch 2 rewrite

Here is my version of ch 2. If you send me yours, I will work try to figure out how to meld them as you read the attached.

<< File: sec14.doc >>
Please call if you have questions.
Capacity data for CA.
From: Adrienne I
To: Martin
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Help me. I am confused. Can you or John stop by and explain these to me.

Original Message
From: Terry, Tracy
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 12:18 PM
To: Condi, John; Anderson, Margot
Cc: Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Condi, John
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 8:18 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Condi, John
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 8:04 AM
To: Condi, John; Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Terry, Tracy
Sent: Friday, February 23, 2001 5:26 PM
To: Anderson, Margot

Help me. I am confused. Can you or John stop by and explain these to me.

Original Message
From: Terry, Tracy
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 12:18 PM
To: Condi, John; Anderson, Margot
Cc: Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Condi, John
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 8:18 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Condi, John
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 8:04 AM
To: Condi, John; Terry, Tracy
Subject: RE: NEP graphs - more on elec

Original Message
From: Terry, Tracy
Sent: Friday, February 23, 2001 5:26 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
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All,

Attached is the latest draft of the energy situation section of the NEP plus the regional section. Comments from the CEA, OMB, EPA, Interior, USDA, etc. were incorporated. Your comments are welcome. Not quite sure what the schedule is but sooner is always better. As in Tuesday. I passed along your comments on chapters 4, 5, 9, and 10 (except EE's comments on chapter 9, which I just received this a.m.) They will go to DOT.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Keliher, Joseph
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:26 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: new draft

Sorry, I just realized I never sent it to you

Attachments:
- sect 4.doc
- sect 5.doc

Margot
FYI: The principals' meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 28, 2001, has also been cancelled due to the budget roll-out. The next meeting is Tuesday, March 6 at 10:00.
FYI: The principals' meeting scheduled for Wednesday, February 28, 2001, has also been cancelled due to the budget roll-out. The next meeting is Tuesday, March 6 at 10:00.